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Purpose: Functional disability, impaired balance, and trunk muscle endurance are among the major changes in patients with Non-specific Chronic Low Back Pain (NCLBP). Investigating the relationship between these factors and Functional Movement Screen (FMS) can facilitate effective pain management and functional problems in these patients. This study aimed to assess the relationships between FMS and pain, dynamic balance, and trunk muscle endurance in military personnel with NCLBP.

Methods: The present study was of a correlational research design. The study subjects were 50 male military personnel with NCLBP (Mean±SD age=33.30±3.94 y, height= 175.32±5.50 cm, & weight=74.05±3.64 kg). FMS was evaluated by FMS tests and pain severity was assessed through Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale; the dynamic balance was evaluated by Y-Balance Test (YBT), and the trunk muscle endurance was measured by the ITO test. Statistical analysis was performed by SPSS. Pearson correlation coefficient at a significance level of P<0.05 was used to examine the association between the research variables.

Results: Pain (P=0.04, r=-0.285) was negatively correlated with the FMS. The FMS was positively associated with the dynamic balance (P=0.014, r=0.346) and trunk muscle endurance (P=0.02, r=0.381).

Conclusion: The FMS can be recommended as a functional assessment tools to identify functional deficits in military personnel with NCLBP. The data suggested that the researchers could employ the FMS as a useful tool in designing more effective treatment plans and improving the functional capacity of individuals with CLBP.
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1. Introduction

Low back pain (LBP) is a prevalent health problem around the world, especially in industrialized countries. According to statistics, about 80% of individuals in these countries have encountered LBP at least once in their lifetime [1, 2]. LBP is generally divided into mechanical, rheumatic, infectious, tumoral, and mental categories; among which, mechanical LBP is the most frequent type and accounts for approximately 90% of total LBP cases [3]. Although it is difficult to define chronic pain, most clinicians agree that LBP will become chronic if not relieved after 6 to 12 weeks with subsequent recurrent pain [1, 2]. The causes of LBP are different; age, smoking, chronic stress, acute injuries, nutritional disorders, genetics, weight gain, improper weight lifting, decreased flexibility, reduced disc fluid, and poor physical conditions [4, 5]. Most contemporary views hold the opinion that repeated minor injuries to spinal structures and poor control and stability are major causes of LBP [4, 5].

LBP influences the mobility of the lumbar spine and contiguous joints and prompts functional weakness [6]. Accordingly, it is clinically essential to understand LBP and design functional assessments for it. Patients with Chronic Low Back Pain (CLBP) can be surveyed by various objective and subjective assessment tools. The Oswestry Disability Index (ODI), the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ), and the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) are the principal mental devices used to assess the grade of disability [7, 8]. Some researchers investigated the functional status or functional outcomes acquired after therapeutic intermediation for this condition. The back-endurance test is ordinarily applied to quantify the valence of the back muscles and trunk extensor fatigue [9, 10]. Individuals with CLBP appear to have decreased trunk muscle strength and a low weariness limit [11].

Measuring spine mobility, aerobic capacity, and trunk strength based on the rating scale are the most common objective tools to evaluate patients with CLBP [12, 13]. However, there is no comprehensive functional assessment tool for evaluating the complex and variable elements of functional movement in patients with CLBP. Recently, FMS has been increasingly used to evaluate fundamental movement patterns and practically identify individuals at risk of injury. The FMS challenge factors include coordination, limb mobility, postural control, balance, as well as core and pelvic stability. Therefore, the FMS may be a useful tool for identifying sensorimotor dysfunctions in patients with CLBP who tend to reduce mobility, balance, core stability, and coordination. This study aimed to assess the relationships between functional movement screen and pain, dynamic balance, and trunk muscle endurance in military personnel with non-specific CLBP.

Plain Language Summary

Low back pain affects core stability and balance and leads to functional disability. Patients with Chronic Low Back Pain (CLBP) can be evaluated by several assessment tools. However, there is no comprehensive functional assessment tool for evaluating the complex and variable elements of functional movement in patients with CLBP. Recently, FMS has been increasingly used to evaluate fundamental movement patterns and practically identify individuals at risk of injury. The back-endurance test is ordinarily applied to quantify the valence of the back muscles and trunk extensor fatigue. Individuals with CLBP appear to have decreased trunk muscle strength and a low weariness limit [11].

FMS tests have been widely used in extensive studies; they include 7 scales that identify the limitations and modifications of healthy movement patterns. A maximum score of 21 can be obtained in this test. Previous studies indicated that individuals who obtain scores of...
≤14 in this test are exposed to damage, especially lower limb organ damage [15, 16]. For example, O’Connor et al. demonstrated that scores under 14 were related to an improved probability of damage in military personnel [17]. The FMS tests, e.g., deep squat, hurdle step, and lurch tests challenge factors, including coordination, limb mobility, postural control, balance, as well as core and pelvic stability [15]. These tests are intended to cooperate between motor chain mobility and the stability required to perform functional movement patterns. Besides, they essentially measure neuromuscular coordination status, especially core stability and balance. Brining down the stability and mobility diminishes the individuals’ FMS test scores and puts them at further serious risks of injury [17, 18].

Numerous studies have evaluated the individual function and predicted the odds of damage through FMS as well as its relationship with the risk factors of damage [19-22]. Kiesel et al. [19] investigated the FMS scores and their association with pre-season injury rates in American football players. They reported that players, who were scored ≤14 were twice as likely to experience skeletal muscle injuries, compared to the players with scores >14 [19]. Mitchell et al. [20] documented a positive correlation between core stability and FMS in students (n=77, age=8-11 years). Theyhen et al. [21] also found a positive relationship between FMS and back muscle endurance, YBT scores, and the flexibility of healthy soldiers. Contrarily, Parchmann et al. [22] observed no association between FMS and sport function. They intended to characterize whether FMS scores or 1RM is associated with athletic performance, explicitly in the division, I golf players regarding sprint times, Vertical Jump (VJ) height, agility t-test times, and clubhead speed (15 men, 10 women, age=20 years). They stated that such absence of correlation reflects that FMS is not a proportionate field test and fails to associate with any part of athletic performance. Accordingly, the 1RM squat strength test could be a reliable index of athletic performance.

Despite the widespread use of FMS in studies, scholars overlooked exploring the relationship between FMS and other assessment tools in patients with LBP. Evidence suggests that individuals with LBP have less muscle endurance, balance, and core stability, compared to the healthy population [23, 24]. These patients face deficiencies in the physiological components of afferents and efferents that control balance and decrease stiffness, muscle strength, and endurance. Balance disorder has been frequently reported in patients with LBP [25, 26]. Therefore, FMS may be a useful tool for identifying sensorimotor dysfunctions in patients with CLBP who tend to reduce mobility, balance, core stability, and coordination. Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate the movement function of military personnel with Non-specific Chronic Low Back Pain (NCLBP) through FMS. We also investigated the relationship between the scores of this test and pain, dynamic balance, and trunk muscle endurance.

2. Materials and Methods

The present study was of a correlational research design. The statistical population consisted of male military personnel with NCLBP with an age range of 30-40 years. The study inclusion criteria were having pain between the 12th rib and back gluteal fold for more than three months without any pathological evidence. The exclusion criteria of the study were having no known disease affecting research variables, such as osteoporosis, spinal disorders (spondylus, fracture, etc.), spine infection, intervertebral disc spondylolilithiasis, sciatica, surgery history, abnormalities in the upper and lower extremities, and too much muscle hypermobility. The statistical samples of the study consisted of 50 men selected by a convenience and purposive sampling method. The required sample size was calculated using data from previous research and G power software (α level of 0.05, a power of 80%, & an effect size of 0.5) [26].

The subject selection was conducted through interviews and examination by a specialist based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the research. Before performing the measurements, the researcher provided sufficient information about the research purpose and the measurement method to the study participants to participate in the study with complete knowledge and consent. However, no explanation was provided on the scoring and its correct method to gain the highest possible scores. The written informed consent forms were obtained from the study individuals. Pain, dynamic balance and trunk muscle endurance were measured by the Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale (QBPDS), Y-balance Test (YBT), and Ito test; the FMS was also performed by FMS tests. LBP was measured using the QBPDS. The questionnaire contained 25 five-option questions in which pain was scored from zero to 100. Score zero represents perfect health without pain, 25=medium pain, 50=severe pain, 75=very severe pain, and 100=acute pain. In the previous studies, the validity of QBPDS was verified in assessing LBP and reported by 84%. The reliability of the test was also reported to be 0.92 [27].

The YBT was used to measure dynamic balance in the study subjects. It is a reliable and valid assessment tool...
for dynamic balance (α=0.86-0.95) [28]. The test was performed with the subject standing at the center of the platform with 3 cloth tape measures attached to the floor; one in the anterior direction and others positioned 135° from the anterior tape. The study subjects performed the single-leg stance test while extending the other leg as far as possible along with the reach directions (anterior, posteromedial, & posterolateral) (Figure 1).

When the reaching foot touched the furthest point possible, the subject returned to the bilateral stance position while maintaining balance. The examiner measured the distance from the center of the grid to the touchpoint. Reach distance was then normalized by dividing it by the research subject’s limb length and subsequently multiplying it by 100 [29]. The study subject’s limb length was measured using a cloth tape measure from the anterior superior iliac spine to the medial malleolus tip in lie-down position. Before conducting the tests, each research subject performed 6 practice trials to minimize the learning effect [30], followed by a rest period. Next, they performed 3 trials per direction on the stance foot. The mean normalized score of 3 trials was recorded as the study subject’s YBT score.

To prevent the effect of testing order on the data, the starting direction was randomly selected via the specified cards. The trials were repeated if the study subject failed to keep hands on the hips, used the reaching foot for stance support, or failed to maintain unilateral stance on the platform. Each subject was requested to perform this test at the beginning and end of the study. Composite reach distance was measured as the sum of 3 reach directions (anterior, posteromedial, & posterolateral), divided by 3 times of limb length, then multiplied by 100 [29, 30].

The Ito test was employed to measure the flexor and extensor muscle endurance. To assess the endurance of trunk flexors, the research participants were put in a supine position on the bed as they held their lower extremities while the objects were vertical, and the legs were fully horizontal. They also bent their hands to the abdomen while bending head and neck forward and upward. The duration of time, when a person can maintain this position, was recorded in seconds using a timer by the examiner; this value was considered as the isometric muscle endurance of trunk flexors. The test would be stopped if the examiner was unable to maintain the position or released the contraction. The reliability of the test was reported to be 0.97 for healthy participants, and from 0.93 to 0.95 for those with LBP [31].

The FMS tests included 7 inventories; deep squat, hurdle step, inline lunge, shoulder mobility, active straight leg raise, trunk stability pushup, and rotary stability [15] (Figure 2). The method of scoring these tests was conducted per the instructions by Cook and associates. Therefore, score 3 was considered for correct movement without compensatory movements; score 2 for movement with compensatory movements; score 1 for inability to move without compensatory movements, and score 0 was considered for pain during movement or clearing test. Five out of seven tests (hurdle step, inline lunge, shoulder mobility, active straight leg-raise, & rotary stability) were independently scored on the right and left sides of the body. The FMS scoring system emphasized the asymmetry due to neuromuscular asymmetry between right and left sides. Besides, the lowest score was considered as the overall value for that specific move. To obtain the final score, the total scores of each test were summed [32]. The scores below 14 were considered as a predictor of injury.
Figure 2. Functional Movement Screening Tests
1. active straight leg-raise; 2. shoulder mobility; 3. inline lunge; 4. hurdle step; 5. rotary stability; 6. deep squat; 7. trunk stability pushup

risk [15-17]. The moderate to good inter-and intra-rater reliability was reported for this test [33].

Statistical analysis was performed by SPSS using descriptive and inferential statistics. The Shapiro-Wilk test was applied to examine the normality of the data. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to investigate the relationship between the scores of the FMS test and pain severity, dynamic balance, and trunk muscle endurance. P<0.05 was considered as the significance level.

3. Results

Table 1 presents the mean and standard deviation values of the demographic characteristics of the study participants, including age, height, and weight. Table 2 lists the mean and standard deviation of FMS scores. The Pearson correlation test results indicated a significant and positive relationship between FMS test and dynamic balance, and trunk muscle endurance; it also suggested a significant and negative relationship between FMS and pain severity. Table 3 and Figure 3 present the related test results.

4. Discussion

The present study aimed to evaluate the functional movement in military personnel with NCLBP through FMS. Additionally, we assessed the correlation between the scores of the FMS test and pain severity, balance, and trunk muscle endurance. The obtained results indicated a significant positive correlation between FMS and dynamic balance and trunk muscle endurance. Furthermore, there was a significant negative correlation between FMS and pain severity. There exists a few studies on the association of FMS and some factors, including pain, balance, and trunk muscle endurance in individuals with NCLBP.

The study evaluated the functions of military personnel with NCLBP through an FMS test. The scale included 7 tests; deep squat, hurdle step, inline lunge, shoulder mobility, active straight leg raise, trunk stability pushup, and rotary stability. Among the 7 tests of FMS, deep squat, inline lunge, and hurdle step tests examine core stability factors, gluteal strength, proprioception, as well as the balance and stability of hip, knee, and ankle. Active straight leg raising challenged the flexibility of hamstring and cuff muscles, and trunk stability pushup and rotary stability challenged the trunk stability [15].
In the present study, the mean total FMS score equaled 10.86 out of 21; the study participants’ scores ranged from 9 to 13. O’Connor et al. found that scores below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DP</td>
<td>1.14±0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td>1.32±0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILL</td>
<td>1.48±0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>2.20±0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASLR</td>
<td>1.60±0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>1.80±0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>1.32±0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total FMS</td>
<td>10.86±1.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Figure 3.** Correlation between FMS and balance, pain severity, and trunk muscle endurance

A. Correlation between FMS and balance
B. Correlation between FMS and pain severity
C. Correlation between FMS and Trunk Flexor Endurance (TFE)
D. Correlation between FMS and Trunk Extensor Endurance (TEE)

In the present study, the mean total FMS score equaled 10.86 out of 21; the study participants’ scores ranged from 9 to 13. O’Connor et al. found that scores below 14 were associated with an increased odds of injury in military personnel [17]. Previous studies reported a significant direct relationship between a history of LBP and...
lower active straight leg raising [21]. Moreover, Shum et al. [34] reported that individuals with CLBP presented limited movement in sitting to standing movements in the lumbar vertebrae and pelvis, and vice versa. Sung et al. [35] also argued that the kinetic and kinematic stability of individuals with CLBP were decreased during the single-leg stance; their balance functions were impaired, leading to alternations in their proprioception and postural control. Some studies also reported a decrease in spine mobility, endurance, strength, and fitness in individuals with CLBP [36-38].

Furthermore, a low total FMS score was associated with lower movement function, as well as disabilities in the explored military personnel with CLBP. The current study results indicated a significant positive correlation between the trunk muscle endurance and score of FMS (r=0.381). A proper explanation for this result could be the poor endurance of flexor and extensor trunk muscles, and the defective body coordination and movement. Trunk endurance was measured by calculating the period when a subject could hold the trunk in an unsupported state. The trunk muscles play important roles in supporting and stabilizing the lumbar spine [39, 40]. Trunk muscle endurance is correlated with low fatigue thresholds and leads to the non-control of trunk movement [39]. Mitchell et al. [20] found a significant correlation between overall FMS scores and core stability and dynamic posture. FMS measures trunk stability through trunk stability pushup, rotary stability, squat, lunge, and hurdle step tests [15, 32].

The present study data also indicated a significant correlation between the scores of FMS and YBT (r=0.346). Given that the correlation was moderate, the results of the two tests were correlated; the better the balance, the greater the FMS score. Lockeie et al. [41] compared FMS scores with Star Excursion Balance (SEB) test values. They reported a direct relationship between the rotary stability test scores and the trunk stability pushup in FMS and SEB tests. Another study specified a significant relationship between rotary stability score and trunk stability pushup in FMS, and the anterior reaching in the SEB test [42]. In these studies, the participants performed the SEB test in 8 directions; however, in the present study, we used the YBT in 3 directions.

The previous study suggested that reaching in the SEB test could not be compared with FMS scores [42]; however, we detected a significant negative correlation between FMS scores and pain severity (r=-0.285). The result was obtained from comparing the study participants’ total pain scores in the QBPDS. The scale probed questions about the state of pain and functions of daily living activities in individuals with LBP. The correlation of the FMS and pain severity could help to better evaluate physical function and abilities in individuals with CLBP. Besides, it could be beneficial for designing prevention programs regarding the reduction of their movement functions.

The current research limitations incorporated the absence of controls over the subjects’ nourishing status that may influence the research subjects’ performance. The lack of assessing muscle length was another constraint of this research. Muscle length can influence individuals’ performance in multiple tests. Other limitations contained overlooking the exact control of biopsychological status, e.g., the subjects’ attitude, motivation, and nervousness levels during training and examinations.

5. Conclusion

Our study identified the relationship between pain, trunk muscle endurance, and dynamic balance, and FMS. The relevant outcomes demonstrated a negative relationship between pain and FMS scores. There was also a positive correlation between trunk muscle endurance and dynamic balance, and FMS. Therefore, FMS can be recommended as a functional assessment tool to identify functional deficits in military personnel with NCLBP. The data suggested that the researchers could employ FMS as a useful tool for designing more effective treatment plans and improving the functional capacity of subjects with CLBP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Pain</th>
<th>YBT Posteromedial Reach</th>
<th>YBT Posterolateral Reach</th>
<th>YBT Anterior Reach</th>
<th>Overall YBT Score</th>
<th>FMS Endurance Trunk Flexor Muscles</th>
<th>FMS Endurance Trunk Extensor Muscles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>31.50±1.11</td>
<td>84.24±1.10</td>
<td>83.38±2.83</td>
<td>82.74±2.97</td>
<td>83.75±2.62</td>
<td>56.08±7.89</td>
<td>74.68±7.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMS Pearson</td>
<td>-0.285</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>correlation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Pearson correlation test results
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