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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Changes in lower extremity alignment in individuals with abnormality of this segments are unclear. The present study aimed to compare lower extremity alignment in subjects with genu valgum and genu varum deformities and healthy subjects in order to understand the lower extremity alignment changes in this group.

Methods: This was a causal-comparative study. The sample comprised 120 males selected by convenience sampling method. In total, 40 subjects were assigned to genu valgum group, 40 to genu varum group, and 40 to healthy subject group. Lower extremity alignment was evaluated in anteversion of hip, Q angle, internal and external rotation of hip, knee hyperextension, tibial torsion, tibia vara, and foot arch index, at the laboratory of Tehran University, Tehran, Iran. To compare the mean score of variables between the groups, 1-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used. The obtained data were analyzed by SPSS.

Results: The internal rotation of hip, Q angle, anteversion of hip, knee hyperextension and plantar arch index were significantly higher in the subjects with genu valgum deformity, compared to the subjects with genu varum deformity. The tibia vara value was significantly higher in the subjects with genu varum deformity than those with genu valgum deformity. However, no significant differences was found between the tibial torsion and external rotation of hip in the study groups.

Conclusion: The lower extremity alignment changes in the subjects with genu valgum deformity included increased anteversion, Q angle, flatfoot, knee hyperextension, and internal rotation of the hip. Also, the changes in the subjects with genu varum deformity included decreased Q angle, internal rotation of hip, knee hyperextension, but increased tibia vara, and foot arch.
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1. Introduction

Changes in lower extremity alignment have always been considered as an important risk factor in the development of acute and chronic injuries of lower extremity such as patellofemoral pain syndrome, Anterior Cruciate Ligament (ACL) injury, stress fracture, and plantar fasciitis [1, 2]. It is believed that biomechanical changes combined with the abovementioned changes lead to changes in the amount and direction of forces applied to the joint, changes in proprioception, and muscle activation patterns. These factors decrease lower extremity neuromuscular control, exposing this part of the body to injury [3].

The lower extremity injuries related to changes in lower extremity alignment have been widely investigated in previous studies [4-8]. The relationships between such changes and injuries of this segment are clearly documented in the existing studies. Genu varum and genu valgum deformities are frequent anomalies of lower extremity that are associated with many anatomical changes in knee joint and its adjacent segments, which expose the people with such anomalies to acute and chronic injuries.

Data are insufficient on anatomical changes occurring in this joint and its adjacent joints and segments following such an anomaly. For example, Sahrmann et al. (2010) has noted internal rotation of hip, external rotation of tibia, and probability of supination or pronation of foot as changes in the subjects with genu valgum, and internal rotation of hip, knee hyperextension, tibia vara, and supination of foot as changes in the subjects with genu varum [9]. Similarly, Levangie and Norkin (2011) recognized the internal rotation of hip, external rotation of tibia, and pronated foot for the changes in lower extremity alignment in genu varum deformity. However, they disregarded the subsequent changes of genu valgum deformity [10].

Regarding the changes in genu valgum, Neumann (2010) noted internal rotation of tibia and pronation of foot as the concomitant changes. However, they overlooked the changes in lower extremity in the subjects with genu varum deformity [11]. According to the literature, there is no consensus among researchers about the changes in lower extremity alignment in the subjects with such deformities. Also, investigations failed to thoroughly examine the subsequent changes of these deformities. Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate the changes in lower extremity alignment in the subjects with genu varum and genu valgum deformities.

We also compared the samples with the healthy population as well as with each other, in order to determine the subsequent changes of these deformities. As a result, lower extremity alignment was investigated by foot arch index, tibia vara, the rotation of tibia, knee hyperextension, Q angle, the internal and external rotation of hip, and anteverision of hip in the study groups.

---

**Highlights**

- Knock-knees changes comprise increase in anteversion, Q angle, knee hyperextension, flatfoot, and internal rotation of the hip.
- Bowlegs changes comprise decrease in Q angle, internal rotation of hip, knee hyperextension, but increase in tibia vara, and higher foot arch.

**Plain Language Summary**

Genu valgum (Knock-knees) and genu varum (Bowlegs) abnormalities are common abnormalities in legs and knee joints that change the appearance of legs and cause several musculoskeletal injuries such as knee and patella pain and osteoarthritis. However, before any therapeutic intervention the changes in the affected areas must be well-known. But these changes in Bowlegs and Knock-Knees are unclear and this study was conducted to investigate the changes in knee and hip joint alignment in people with these deformities. The study results showed in subjects with Knock-Knees deformity changes in knee and hip joint alignment such as increase in anteverision (deviation of the femoral head), Q angle, internal rotation of the hip, knee hyperextension (straightening up the knee joint) and flatfoot. The deformities in Bowlegs comprise decrease in Q angle, internal rotation of hip, knee hyperextension, but increase in tibia vara (curvature in tibia bone) and foot arch.
2. Materials and Methods

The statistical sample of the study comprised 120 students aged 18-26 years from Tehran University. The subjects were assigned into 3 groups based on inclusion criteria and by convenience sampling method (40 to genu varum, 40 to genu valgum, and 40 to healthy subject groups). The anthropometric characteristics of the subjects are presented in Table 1. Exclusion criteria included histories of surgery, fracture or dislocation in lower extremity, pain in any part of the body on the test day, severe muscular soreness in lower extremity on the test day, evident anomaly in the hip and spinal column of the subjects, such as increased kyphosis, increased lordosis and scoliosis, and apparent difference in the length of leg, greater than 2 cm in each subject [12, 13].

Each subject signed the consent form prior to study participation. Furthermore, this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tehran University. For evaluating and assigning the subjects to genu varum and genu valgum groups, they were requested to stand barefoot against the wall in anatomical position, with heels, hips and upper backs contacting the wall. Then, they were requested to bring their legs close to each other. The subject was assigned to genu varum group if the distance between internal condyles of knee was more than 3 cm and subject was assigned to genu valgum group if the distance between the internal malleoli of ankle was more than 3 cm. The subject was assigned to the healthy group, if the distance between malleoli and internal condyles was less than 3 cm [14, 15].

Lower extremity alignment was measured for the anteversion of hip, internal rotation of hip, external rotation of hip, Q angle, knee hyperextension, tibial torsion, tibia vara, and foot arch index. All measurements were done by goniometer and each variable was measured three times and an average of three measurements was recorded. All measurements related to the variables were performed by a single examiner to eliminate inter-rater bias. Prior to the study, a pilot study was conducted on 10 healthy subjects in order to determine the intra-rater reliability of the test.

Assessment tools

Anteversion of hip

Craig’s Test was used to measure the anteversion of hip. The subjects were requested to lie prone and hold the knee at 90º flexion. Then, the examiner touched the posterior part of greater trochanter and passively rotated the subject’s hip until the greater trochanter was parallel with the examination table (at this moment, the femur neck was parallel with surface of table). The angle between the vertical line and shaft of tibia was recorded as the extent of anteversion of hip for each subject [8, 16] (Figure 1).
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Internal and external rotation of hip

These parameters were measured while the subject was sitting on the table, with his knee and hip at 90° flexion. The subject was then instructed to perform internal and external rotation up to the end of the range of motion. In this position, the movable goniometer arm was placed on tibia and its fixed arm on the ground perpendicularly. The formed angle was recorded as the internal and external rotation of hip [8].

Q angle

To measure this angle, the line connecting ASIS (anterior superior iliac spine) to the center of patella and also the line connecting anterior tibial tuberosity to the center of patella were drawn, and goniometer center was placed in the center of patella, goniometer arms were placed along with the drawn lines, and the formed angle was recorded as the Q angle for each subject [8, 17, 18].

Knee hyperextension

To measure it, the subject was requested to keep a normal standing position. Then the center of goniometer was placed on femoral epicondyle and its arms were placed parallel to greater trochanter of hip and the central line of lateral section of tibia. The formed angle was recorded as knee hyperextension [8, 19].

Tibial torsion

Tight-foot method was used to measure tibial torsion in this study. The subject was lying prone on the table, the knee joint was placed at 90° flexion, and the center of the heel was marked in plantar surface of the foot, from which a line was drawn to the middle of the foot, then a line dividing the hip into 2 equal halves was drawn. The angle between the 2 lines was recorded as tibial torsion angle [8, 20, 21] (Figure 2).

Tibia vara

To measure tibia vara, the subject was requested to stand on one single leg, keep the toes of opposite foot in contact with the floor in order to keep balance, and look at a point in the front. Then, the examiner stood behind the subject, detected the line dividing the posterior part of the leg into 2 equal halves, and drew a line from upper two-third of the leg to the top of the ankle. The angle formed between this line and the floor was recorded as the extent of tibia vara [22] (Figure 3).

Plantar arch index

Staheli Method was used to measure the plantar arch in the subjects. First, a sufficient amount of talcum powder was sprayed on a plate prepared in advance. The subject was asked to start walking normally a few meters away from the plate, put his foot on it and pass. Then, the narrowest point of the forefoot and the widest point of the heel of each foot were determined from the footprint on the plate. Next, the Staheli index was calculated by dividing the narrowest point of the barefoot by the widest point of the heel [23].

Statistical analysis

The mean and standard deviation were used to describe the data. The obtained data were analyzed by SPSS. One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was also used. After determining significance, Tukey test was used to find differences between the groups. Significance level was set at P≤0.05.

3. Results

Anthropometric index values are presented in Table 1. According to the results of present study presented in Tables 2 and 3, the internal rotation of hip, Q angle, anteverision of hip, knee hyperextension and plantar arch index were significantly higher in the subjects with genu valgum deformity, as compared to the subjects with genu varum deformity. Moreover, Q angle value, the anteverision of hip and knee hyperextension were significantly higher in genu valgum group than the controls (P≤0.05).
Table 1. Anthropometric index of the subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Genu Valgum</th>
<th>Genu Varum</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>22.5±4.30</td>
<td>23±4.50</td>
<td>23.5±4</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>176.4±8.50</td>
<td>179.4±7.70</td>
<td>178.3±8.20</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>77.5±80</td>
<td>76.3±8.50</td>
<td>75.5±7.40</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>24.40</td>
<td>23.60</td>
<td>23.78</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Results of 1-way ANOVA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Genu Varum</th>
<th>Genu Valgum</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anteversion (Degree)</td>
<td>13.8±2.46</td>
<td>15.1±4.01</td>
<td>12.1±1.96</td>
<td>13.05</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Rotation (Degree)</td>
<td>28.67±3.93</td>
<td>31.8±5.87</td>
<td>30.8±4.87</td>
<td>4.308</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Rotation (Degree)</td>
<td>27.76±4.91</td>
<td>26.5±6.38</td>
<td>29.2±3.84</td>
<td>4.140</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q angle (Degree)</td>
<td>14.48±2.46</td>
<td>17.2±2.68</td>
<td>15.1±2</td>
<td>14.55</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyper knee (Degree)</td>
<td>0.98±1.47</td>
<td>-0.3±1.59</td>
<td>0.9±1.27</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibial torsion (Degree)</td>
<td>6.61±3.12</td>
<td>6.63±0.93</td>
<td>6.9±3</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>0.915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia vara (Degree)</td>
<td>9.7±1.58</td>
<td>7.73±1.3</td>
<td>8.2±1.59</td>
<td>18.49</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot index, cm*</td>
<td>0.65±0.13</td>
<td>0.78±0.29</td>
<td>0.74±0.15</td>
<td>4.618</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Results of Tukey post hoc test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Genu Varum</th>
<th>Genu Valgum</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anteversion (Degree)*</td>
<td>13.8±2.46</td>
<td>15.1±4.01</td>
<td>12.1±1.96</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Rotation (Degree)*</td>
<td>28.67±3.93</td>
<td>31.8±5.87</td>
<td>30.8±4.87</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Rotation</td>
<td>27.76±4.91</td>
<td>26.5±6.38</td>
<td>29.2±3.84</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q Angle (Degree)*</td>
<td>14.48±2.46</td>
<td>17.2±2.68</td>
<td>15.1±2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyper knee (Degree)*</td>
<td>0.98±1.47</td>
<td>-0.3±1.59</td>
<td>0.9±1.27</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibial torsion</td>
<td>6.61±3.12</td>
<td>6.63±0.93</td>
<td>6.9±3</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia vara (Degree)*</td>
<td>9.7±1.58</td>
<td>7.73±1.3</td>
<td>8.2±1.59</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot index, cm*</td>
<td>0.65±0.13</td>
<td>0.78±0.29</td>
<td>0.74±0.15</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Represents P≤0.05 by one-way ANOVA test.

P1: Comparison between genu varum and genu valgum; P2: Comparison between the genu valgum and control group; P3: Comparison between the genu varum and control group.
The results also indicated that tibia vara value was significantly higher in the subjects with genu varum deformity than those with genu valgum deformity and the control group (P≤0.05). However, no significant differences were found between the tibial torsion and external rotation of hip in the study groups (P≥0.05). Prior to the study, a pilot study was conducted on 10 healthy subjects in order to determine the intra-rater reliability of the test. The results indicated high intra-rater reliability for all variables (ICC≤0.84).

4. Discussion

Results of the study indicated that the internal rotation of hip, Q angle, anteversion of hip, hip knee hyperextension and plantar arch index were significantly higher in the subjects with genu valgum deformity, compared to the subjects with genu varum deformity. The results also indicated that tibia vara value was significantly higher in the subjects with genu varum deformity than those with genu valgum deformity. However, no significant differences were found between the tibial torsion and external rotation of hip in the study groups.

Anteversion of hip

The present study indicated that the mean anteversion score of hip was higher in the subjects with genu valgum deformity than the subjects with genu varum deformity and control group (P≤0.05). However, there was no significant difference between the genu varum group and the controls (P≥0.05).

Anteversion of hip is the angle formed between the head and neck of femur with condylar axis of the hip. If this angle increases, femur loses its stability in the acetabulum and inclines toward the outer side of acetabulum. In order to recover this position and restore femur into acetabulum and increase stability, a person with increased anteversion gives a compensatory internal rotation to femur [24, 25]. It may disrupt the natural alignment of the knees and make the distal end of femur and subsequently the whole knee joint come closer to the midline of the body, forcing the knees to valgus position and causing genu valgum deformity-over time. Results of the present study are consistent with the findings of Magee (2013), who reported increased anteversion in the subjects with genu valgum deformity [25]. No study was found examining this factor in the subjects with these deformities.

Internal and external rotation

The results indicated that internal rotation was significantly higher in genu valgum group than the genu varum group (P≤0.05). However, there was no significant difference between the values of this variable in other groups (P≥0.05).

Lawrence et al. (2008) reported that the strength of external rotator muscles is associated with internal rotation of hip and these muscles control the internal rotation of hip by applying eccentric force and reduce it [26]. In consistent with our findings, other studies have also reported the direct relationship between reduced strength of these muscles and increased internal rotation and adduction of hip [27, 28]. Studies suggested that the increased internal rotation of hip in the people with genu valgum deformity is due to the weakness of external rotator muscles, and decreased strength of these muscles in such people reduces their control on the internal rotation of hip, leading to increased amount of this factor in this group.

Movements like the internal and external rotation of hip, which occur in opposite directions, are interdependent, and an increase in the range of motion of each will subsequently decrease the range of motion of the other one. According to the present study, the subjects with genu valgum deformity had more internal rotation of hip than the genu varum deformity group. Thus, such increase in the internal rotation of hip was expected to decrease external rotation of hip in this group. Although external rotation was higher in the subjects with genu varum deformity than those with genu valgum deformity, the difference was not statistically significant.

Q angle and plantar arch index

Results of the present study indicated that Q angle was significantly higher in the subjects with genu valgum deformity than those with genu varum deformity and the control group (P≤0.05). The obtained results also indicated that the mean score of plantar arch index was significantly higher in the subjects with genu valgum deformity than those with genu varum deformity (P≤0.05). Based on the theory of kinetic chain, the changes in one segment or part of body may cause changes in other parts of the body and affect their status. According to this theory, reduced plantar arch results in the internal rotation of tibia, making the knees undergo a valgus position and the hips rotate internally. For this reason and because of decreased plantar arch in the subjects with genu valgum deformity, their knees...
undergo valgus position and displace internally, leading to increased Q angle in them [29, 30].

Neumann et al. (2010), Magee (2008), Schamberger et al. (2012), and Riegger (1996) have noted flatfoot and increased Q angle in people with genu valgum deformity [11, 25, 31, 32].

Knee hyperextension

Results of the study indicated that knee hyperextension was significantly higher in the subjects with genu valgum deformity than those with genu varum deformity and the controls (P≤0.05). This suggests that knee joint has more extension in this group. This study indicated that the internal rotation of hip was found in the subjects with genu valgum deformity. This could likely make gluteal muscles undergo a stretched position in this group, which leads to the weakness of these muscles, resulting in further extension in knees of such subjects, compared to other groups [9, 19].

Tibial torsion

The obtained results indicated no significant differences between tibial torsion in the subjects with genu varum deformity and those with genu valgum and control group (P≥0.05). No study was found consistent with the present research, indicating a lack of significant difference in tibial torsion in the subjects with genu valgum deformity and those with genu varum deformity. However, some textbooks, described the compensatory internal or external rotation of tibia in the subjects with genu valgum and genu varum deformities [9-11, 31, 32]. However, the findings of the present study are inconsistent with the ideas of these authors.

Most researchers have suggested that in genu valgum and genu varum deformities, tibia will have compensatory torsion in the opposite direction of hip rotation [9, 25, 31]. The researcher, therefore, is expected to observe a compensatory external torsion in the subjects with genu valgum deformity due to increased internal rotation of hip. However, this was not the case and no difference was found between tibial torsion in the subjects with genu valgum deformity and those with genu varum deformity and control group.

The researchers have no compelling reason why there was no tibial compensatory process in the people with genu valgum deformity. Therefore, future studies should be conducted to clarify the issue. Finally, tibial torsion should be assessed and measured individually, in order to detect possible changes in tibial torsion in people with such deformities. Therefore, the mechanism of the changes occurred in the individual’s tibia, if any, could be revealed and the risks of probable damages threatening them could be identified.

Tibia vara

Based on this study, the mean score of tibia vara is significantly higher in the subjects with genu varum deformity than those with genu valgum deformity and control group (P≤0.05). Although the mean score of tibia vara was lower in the subjects with genu valgum deformity than the control group, no significant difference was found between these two groups (P≥0.05).

Tibia vara is the angle between the axis perpendicular to the plane and distal one-third of tibia, indicating curvature or arcuate shape of tibia [22]. One of the main causes of tibia vara in lower extremity is unbalanced mechanical stress exerted on the internal and external parts of tibia [33, 34]. When genu varum deformity occurs, legs get away from each other, subsequently making the proximal end of tibia distant from the midline of the body. In this condition, pressure on tibia is not distributed equally at its length and its inner part experiences more pressure than its outer parts [35].

The pressure on tibia and far distance of proximal one-third of tibia from the midline of the body may increase the amount of curvature or increase tibia vara in the people with genu varum deformity. It should be noted that increased tibia vara may occur during childhood due to some nutritional problems and some diseases like Blount’s disease [34, 36]. In this case, increased curvature of tibia may make the knees of the child distant from each other and expose the child to a risk of genu varum deformity. Finally, it can be argued that increased tibia vara is a characteristic change observed with genu varum deformity, whether genu varum deformity increases tibia vara or vice versa.

Based on the study results, the changes occurring in lower extremity alignment in the subjects with genu valgum deformity include increased anteversion, increased hip internal rotation (compared to the subjects with genu varum), increased Q angle, increased knee hyperextension, and more flatfoot (compared to the subjects with genu varum). In addition, the changes occurring in lower extremity alignment of the people with genu varum deformity include decreased internal rotation of hip (compared to the subjects with genu valgum), increased tibia vara, and high arch (compared to
the subjects with genu valgum). The main limitation of the present study is the lack of related articles. Therefore, the required information was gained by related books of Orthopedic and kinesiology.

The present research is among the few studies examining the changes of lower extremity alignment in genu valgum and genu varum deformities. Thus, the obtained data can be used as a reference in prescription of exercise in this population.
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